The Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction, as charged in Senate Bylaw 125, reviewed legislation to be presented for action to the Divisional Senate Assembly to verify conformity with the format and content of the Code of the Academic Senate and advised the Division, its Officers, Committees, Faculties, and members in matters of organization, jurisdiction, and interpretation of legislation of the Academic Senate and its agencies. CRJ held no formal meetings; it conducted its reviews electronically.

I. PROPOSED REVISIONS TO IRVINE BYLAWS

**Irvine Bylaw 10**
Revisions are to correct Bylaw 10 for clerical and conforming changes related to Academic Personnel Manual (APM) 285-20 and the Regents’ Standing Order 105.1.(a) Organization of the Academic Senate. [http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/bylaws/so1051.html](http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/regents/bylaws/so1051.html)
The proposed revision to Bylaw 10 recommended by the Academic Senate Cabinet would allow a conforming change in order for our bylaws to comply with the changes in APM-285 and the Standing Regents Order 105.1. Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction endorsed revisions to Irvine Bylaw 10 to conform with the Code of the Academic Senate.

**Council on Faculty Welfare, Bylaw 99**
The revision of IBL 99 was proposed by CFW to change its name to Council on Faculty Welfare, Diversity and Academic Freedom, and to add three subcommittees: Faculty Welfare, Affirmative Action and Diversity, and Academic Freedom. The proposed revisions would more clearly define the Council’s existing duties and does not add or change the Council’s duties.
The Senate Cabinet and the Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction endorsed the revision of IBL 99 and determined the changes conform with the Code of the Senate.

II. PROPOSED REVISIONS TO IRVINE REGULATIONS

**Irvine Regulation 920, Doctorate Committee**
The Committee on Rules and Jurisdiction reviewed the proposed change to Irvine Regulation 920, Doctoral Committee regarding the composition of dissertation committees. CRJ determined there are no stipulations by adding “At least one member of the student’s committee must hold a primary appointment in the student’s department.” CRJ determined that it conforms to the Code of the Academic Senate.

III. PROPOSED REVISIONS TO APPENDICES TO THE IRVINE SENATE MANUAL

**Appendix I Bylaws of the Faculties, Chapter VI: School of Humanities**
The Humanities Executive Committee proposed changes to the HEC membership to consist of elected representatives and proposed changes in which HEC would take over the function of the Deans Advisory Group. Committee on Rules & Jurisdiction
determined that the proposed final changes in the bylaws of the Faculties of the School of Humanities are consistent with CRJ’s understanding of the current pertinent provisions of the bylaws. CRJ stated we cannot anticipate all potential conflicts, but appreciated the changes School of Humanities made based on CRJ’s advice.

IV. PROPOSED REVISIONS TO SENATE BYLAWS

None

V. CRJ RULINGS

None

VI. OTHER ISSUES

CRJ also offered informal opinions and advice on the following issue:
CRJ chair provided an independent advisory opinion on Bylaw 55 to a faculty member from the School of Ecology. Regarding the specific case the faculty member described to CRJ chair, it appears to him that the Senate is seeking to poll the Social Ecology faculty members to determine their opinions on the disestablishment of the EHSP Department. It would make sense to include everyone’s vote (with comments appended) and perhaps by faculty category to provide the most detailed information for the decision makers at higher levels. Complete information about the vote would also include yes, no, abstentions, and no response as well.

Respectfully Submitted,

John Graham, Business, CRJ Chair
Kavita Arora, Biological Sciences
Gonzalo Navajas, Humanities
Rei Terada, Humanities
Michael Rugg, Medicine
Elizabeth Bennett, Registrar (ex officio)